

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

10 PHILLIP GOODWIN,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 JOHN HAMIL, LIN-MARIE NACHT,
14 HOLLI CORYELL, ELENA LOPEZ,
BRUCE SHAMULKA,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05183-RJB-DWC

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Noting Date: July 7, 2017

16 The District Court referred this action, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, to United
17 States Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. On May 8, 2017, Defendants Lin-Marie Nacht and
18 Bruce Shamulka filed a Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. 17. Plaintiff filed a Response requesting
19 Defendants Nacht and Shamulka be dismissed without prejudice. Dkt. 22.

20 After review of the Motion and Response, the undersigned recommends the claims
21 alleged against Defendants Nacht and Shamulka be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the
22 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court further recommends Defendants Nacht and
23 Shamulka's Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 17) be denied as moot.
24

1 **BACKGROUND**

2 On March 15, 2017, this Court directed service of Plaintiff's Complaint. Dkt. 5.
3 Defendants Nacht and Shamulka returned signed waivers of service on April 17, 2017. Dkt. 13,
4 14. On May 8, 2017, Defendants Nacht and Shamulka filed the Motion to Dismiss.¹ In his
5 Response to the Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff requested Defendants Nacht and Shamulka be
6 dismissed without prejudice. Dkt. 22. Defendants Nacht and Shamulka did not file a reply to
7 Plaintiff's Response, and neither an answer nor motion for summary judgment has been filed in
8 this case.

9 **DISCUSSION**

10 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41 sets forth the circumstances under which an action
11 may be dismissed. Under Rule 41(a)(1), an action may be voluntarily dismissed without
12 prejudice by the plaintiff if the plaintiff files a notice of dismissal before the defendant files an
13 answer or summary judgment motion and the plaintiff has not previously dismissed an action
14 "based on or including the same claim." Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111
15 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Once the defendant has responded to the complaint, the action
16 may only be dismissed by stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared or "by
17 court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1), (2).

18 Plaintiff requested the dismissal prior to any Defendant filing an answer or summary
19 judgment motion. *See* Dkt. 22. Further, Plaintiff has not previously dismissed an action based on
20 the same claim. The Court also notes Defendants Nacht and Shamulka did not object to
21 Plaintiff's request for dismissal. As Defendants Nacht and Shamulka have not filed an answer or
22

23 ¹ The remaining Defendants, Defendants Holli Coryell, John Hamil, and Elena Lopez, filed a Motion to
24 Dismiss on May 19, 2017. Dkt. 18. Their Motion to Dismiss will be addressed in a separately filed Report and
Recommendation.

1 motion for summary judgment, the Court finds dismissal without prejudice is proper in this case.
2 *See Esquivel v. Arau*, 913 F. Supp. 1382, 1386 (C.D. Cal. 1996) (“A plaintiff’s right of voluntary
3 dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1) is not terminated by the filing of a Rule 12 motion to dismiss by
4 the defendant.”).

5 **CONCLUSION**

6 The Court recommends Defendant Nacht and Shamulka be dismissed without prejudice
7 from this action. The Court further recommends Defendants Nacht and Shamulka’s Motion to
8 Dismiss (Dkt. 17) be denied as moot.

9 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have
10 fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P.
11 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of *de novo*
12 review by the district judge. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit
13 imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on July
14 7, 2017, as noted in the caption.

15 Dated this 21st day of June, 2017.

16
17 

18 David W. Christel
19 United States Magistrate Judge
20
21
22
23
24